

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,913	10/032,913 12/29/2001		Motoki Kato	450100-4414.1	9795
20999	7590	06/26/2003		<u> </u>	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG				EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH A NEW YORK				AN, SHA	HAWN S
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2613	<u>ਕ</u>
			•	DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	B

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

Gifice Action Summary

Application No. 10/032,913 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Shawn An

Art Unit 2613

Kato Motoki



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover	sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period	for Reply						
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE _	three	_ MONTH(S) FROM			
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, howeve	r, may a reply b	e timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of d patent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX the application to be	(6) MONTHS fi ecome ABANDO	rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 18, 2	2003		· ·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-fir	nal.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pa</i>	· ·		•			
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>18-29</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>18-29</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	a	re subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ation Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	еа) 🗆 ассер	ted or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be	held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: a)□ a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office	action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under	35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been recei	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been recei	ved in App	lication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule	: 17.2(a)).	-			
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th		•				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
_	The translation of the foreign language provisions						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority unde	er 35 U.S.(J. 99 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summan, IPTC	9-413) Paper No(s)			
_	ptice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Application (PTO-152)			
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Remarks

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-29, and the argument that was presented in the Preliminary Amendment (Remarks section) filed on 12/29/01, have been considered, but, nevertheless, are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 18-19, 22-24, and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda (5,949,956) in view of Gonzales et al (5,617,145).

Regarding claims 18, 23, and 26-27, Fukuda discloses a signal coding apparatus/method, and a signal recording medium, comprising:

coding difficulty calculating means (101) for determining a coding difficulty for each unit time of an input signal;

means for obtaining a reference value of the allocation data amount (301 and 302) interrelated with the coding difficulty of the input signal for the each unit time based on a standardized relationship between coding difficulty and allocation data amount, wherein the standardized relationship is provided when a reference motion picture image sequence is coded by way of variable bit rate coding with a predetermined average value (Col. 6, lines 25-41);

A.P.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,913

Art Unit: 2613

means for modifying (104+) the reference value of the allocation data amount into an actual allocation data amount;

coding means (107) for generating coded data by coding the input signal for the each unit time according to the actual allocation data amount; and

transmitting the generated coded data (Col. 6, lines 1-3).

Fukuda does not specifically disclose that the relationship is provided when a reference motion picture image sequence is coded by way of variable bit rate coding with a predetermined average bit rate.

However, Gonzales et al teaches that average of the resulting picture bit allocation over time be equal to the target (<u>predetermined</u>) average picture bit allocation.(col. 12, lines 11-14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a signal coding apparatus/method, and a signal recording medium as taught by Fukuda to incorporate the concept as taught by Gonzales et al so that the relationship is provided, wherein the reference motion picture image sequence is coded by way of variable bit rate coding with a predetermined average bit rate as an efficient way to optimize bit rate allocation.

Regarding claims 19 and 24, Fukuda discloses means for modifying controlling the actual allocation data amount, so that a total of a bit amount generated when a signal of a time length which can be recorded on a recording medium is equal to or below a bit amount available in the recording medium for signal recording (Col. 6, lines 56-67 and Col. 7, lines 1-23).

Regarding claim 22, Fukuda discloses input signal being a moving picture image signal, and the coding difficulty (Fig. 2) is determined according to an image characteristic of the input image for each predetermined time and coding is carried out with an allocation data amount (102) reflecting human visual characteristic.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,913 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

4. Claims 20-21, 25, and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda and Gonzales et al as applied to claims 18, 23, and 27 above, respectively, and further in view of Chung et al (5,686,982).

Regarding claims 20-21, 25, and 28-29, the combination of Fukuda and Gonzales et al does not specifically disclose the input signal being subjected to a pre-filter processing.

However, Chung et al disclose well known pre-filter processing (Fig. 3, element 33), which includes a low pass filter processing (Col. 5, lines 6-11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a signal coding apparatus/method, and a signal recording medium as taught by Fukuda to incorporate the well known low pass filter processing as taught by Chung et al so that Fukuda's pre-filter processing includes the low pass filter when suppressing the actual allocation sign amount below the reference value in order to prevent coding deterioration.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn An whose telephone number (703) 305-0099 and schedule are Tuesday through Friday.

CHAVIN S. AN

SSA

June 24, 2003